

# **A Study of Land Cover Change Detection in Oddusuddan DS Division of Mullaitivu District in Sri Lanka based on GIS and RS Technology**

Mr.Pathmanandakumar, V.

Senior Lecturer, Department of Geography,

Faculty of Arts and Culture, Eastern University, Sri Lanka

E- Mail: [pathmanandakumarv@esn.ac.lk](mailto:pathmanandakumarv@esn.ac.lk)

---

## *Abstract*

*Land cover change analysis between 1997 and 2016 was conducted in Oddusuddan Divisional Secretariat, Mullaitivu District, using remote sensing and geographic information system incorporated with field verifications. Various Satellite images and different digital maps have been used for extracting information. The overall Objective of this study was to detect the magnitude of land cover change in Oddusuddan between 1997 and 2016. The methodology of this study was a change detection analysis of satellite imagery with Landsat ETM data. Two dates of Landsat image data of the 1997 and 2016 were used to produce land cover map. The Maximum Likelihood algorithm was used for supervised classification to detect changes over period of twenty years. The result showed that during the last twenty years, the forest cover declined from 453.02 km<sup>2</sup> in 1997 to 447.14 km<sup>2</sup> in 2016. It was noticed that socioeconomic factors were the major driving forces for the land cover change.*

**Keywords:** *Change detection, Change Matrix, Geographical Information System & Remote Sensing, Land covers Mapping, Satellite Image Analysis,*

## 1 Introduction

Land cover change is mainly driven by natural phenomena and anthropogenic activities which would impact a natural ecosystem. A well-established land cover and land use management can be driven through understanding pattern, change, and interaction between human activities and natural phenomena. Today, data driven from satellite images are suitable for land cover change detection studies. The main purpose of using remote sensing based change detection is to monitor land cover change very effectively and efficiently. Change detection is the process of identifying differences in the state of an object or phenomenon by observing it at different times (Singh, 1989). Remote sensing based change detection applies comparison of a set of temporal images covering time period of interest using specific change detection algorithms (Yismaw, Gedif, Addisu, & Zewudu, 2014). Land cover degradation is a key issues all over the world which induces temporary and permanent deterioration of structure of vegetation that directly affects species composition and diversity (Grainger, 2009). Monitoring land cover change through time is important for many applications such as land cover planning and management, climate change studies, wildlife

conservation(Coops & Catling, 1997; Zimble et al., 2003). Traditionally, land cover mapping was done by using interpretation of aerial photograph and ground-based sample. This method is relatively time consuming and costly. Over the past decades, development of remote sensing technology provides a more automatic and efficient way for collecting data and managing land cover and land use (Yu, 2007). Satellite data have been used in land use and land cover mapping since the 1970 (Broich et al., 2011). Different satellite images are used for land cover mapping. However, Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) imagery is used for mapping of large area of land use and land cover (Dorren, Maier, & Seijmonsbergen, 2003).

There is no adequate information in relation to land cover of the Oddusuddan DS division. However, some works are carried out to provide information on forest cover of the DS division. Moreover, land cover change is a problem in the study area. However, the rate and aerial extend of land cover change is not studied till date. Therefore, it is necessary to study land cover change on large spatial and temporal scales for sustainable land management. The overall Objective of this study was to detect the magnitude of land cover change and The Specific objectives include identifying forest cover and its spatial

distribution, analyzing the spatial and temporal change, creating land use and land cover map of the study area for the years 1997 and 2016 and to assess the cause of land use and land cover change in the study area.

## **2 Literature review**

According to the recent research, the primary reasons for degradation of forest cover are population pressure, practice of agricultural methods, and lack of awareness about land use and forest cover. Assessment of the land use and land cover in any terrain should be taken into account the following essential factors such as the rate of depletion, reason for the deterioration and remedial measures to restore. Assessment of land use and land cover change is not an easy task. It takes time to perform the assessment. It can be made easier only through Geographical information system and Remote Sensing techniques. Change detection and monitoring activities can be performed effectively by utilizing remote sensing technology (Yismaw, 2014). The major four aspects of change detection are detecting the changes, identifying the nature of change, measuring the aerial extent of change and assessing the spatial pattern of change. Those aspects will be considered in remote sensing as well (McLeod & Conglton, 1998).

Over the past couple of decades, many researches applied satellite images to detect land use and land cover change. For instance, (Unni, Roy, & Parthasarathy, 1985), (Luque, 2000), (Imbernon & Branthomme, 2001), (Young & Wang, 2001), (Karia, Porwal, Roy, & Sandhya, 2001), (Boyd, Foody, & Ripple, 2002), (Larsson, 2002), (Roy & Joshi, 2010), (Reis & Yomralioglu, 2006), (Panigrahy, Kale, Dutta, Mishra, & banerjee, 2010), (Sakthivel et al., 2010), (Forkuo & Frimpong, 2012), (Yismaw et al., 2014), (Kayet & Pathak, 2015), (Sajjad et al., 2015), (Sadeghi, Malekian, & Khodakarami, 2017), (Mihai, Săvulescu, Rujoiu-Mare, & Nistor, 2017). The basic principle of change detection through remote sensing is that the changes in spectral signatures commensurate with the change in land use and land cover. The temporal impacts can be measured through process of change detection (O'Callaghan, 2012). The change detection is *the process of identifying differences in the state of an object or phenomenon by observing it in different times (multi-temporal variations)* (Singh, 1989). Change detection can be done precisely as GIS technology has high volume of special data handling capacity. In addition, GIS technology helps us to do overlay process with multi layers.

### 3 Methodology

For change detection over a period of time, it is essential to have temporal satellite imagery of same time period and same season. Landsat 5 and Landsat 8 Satellite images of two time periods i.e. 1997 and 2016 with the general resolution of 30 m were downloaded from United State of Geological Survey website. In addition, 1:50000 topographic maps were used for accuracy assessment of the images and geometric correction. A field survey was conducted for selecting control points. ERDAS Imagine 15 and ArcGIS 10.3.1 were used for image processing and land cover change detection. Image processing techniques were applied to make the images for visual explanation of land cover. These included geometric correction, radiometric correction, resampling images and clipping of the images. Both

unsupervised and supervised image classification techniques were applied. Unsupervised classification was done before field work. For the supervised image classification training areas were established based on the ground truth taken during field work. Among different algorithms in the supervised classification maximum likelihood classification was utilized.

After the image classification, land use and land cover change were detected by identifying land cover types by using ERDAS Imagine 15 and ArcGis 10.3.1. With the help of visual interpretation of satellite images, four types of land covers are identified such as vegetation cover, settlement and Agricultural area, bare land and water body. Descriptions of each land cover are as follows.

**Table 1: Details of Land use/Land cover classification**

<b>Land use/Land cover types</b>	<b>Details</b>
Vegetation cover	It represent natural and fragmented forest cover areas including small trees, bushes, shrubs, small size plant species with fewer crowns.
Agricultural area	The land that are covered with agricultural activities

Bare Land	The area with bare ground and degraded grassland
Water body	The Land completely occupied with water

Multi temporal data sets have been used to differentiate areas of land cover change between the dates of selected satellite images. The change detection technique should be able to support to identify where and how much change has occurred. Further, change detection matrix has been prepared to observe the trends and patterns of land cover change within the particular period. Kappa statistic was prepared for the different areas that were classified.

**Table 2: Geospatial data used for change detection analysis of land use and land cover in Oddusuddan Divisional Secretariat (in 1997 and 2016)**

Datasets	Landsat scene ID	Scale/ Resolution (m)	Year	Data Source
Landsat 5 TM	LT51410541997022BKT01	30 m	1997	US Geological Survey, Earth Explorer
Landsat 5 TM	LT51410542016082BKT00	30 m	2016	US Geological Survey, Earth Explorer




Figure 1: Flow chart of methodology for land use/ land cover change

## 4 Study area

The study area (Oddusuddan Divisional Secretariat-DS) is located in Mullaitivu District, Northern Province Sri Lanka. Oddusuddan lies between the North latitudes  $9^{\circ}3'$  and  $9^{\circ}24'$  and east longitude  $80^{\circ}20'$  and  $80^{\circ}45'$ . The total population of the area is 15,721 persons and the population density is about  $24.19/m^3$  (Department of Census and Statistics, 2012). The area consists of different types of land use and land cover. The study area was selected as a representative site of the entire Mullaitivu District. The selection of this study area is strongly related to the variety of natural environment and land use and land cover types.





Figure 2: Location of the Study Area

## 5 Result and Discussion

Land use and land cover change in the study area from 1997 to 2016 is discussed in this section. Important changes in land use are found after applying the classification techniques on all satellite imageries. The map of land use classification is given for 1997 and 2016 (Figure 2). Pixel grid cell process was adopted to estimate land use zones and land use area. Supervised classification of the 1997 and 2016 Landsat TM images are shown in the following figures.



**Figure 3: Land use/ Land cover classification of Oddusuddan DS Division in 1997 and 201**

### 5.1 Change detection

The figure 3 shows the spatial distribution of land use and land cover in 1997 and 2016. In 1997, Vegetation covers 453.02 km<sup>2</sup> which is about 62.25% of the total study area. 136.16 km<sup>2</sup> is occupied by agricultural activity which is about 18.71% of the total area. 107.24 km<sup>2</sup> is under Bare Land which

occupied about 14.74 % of the study area. Water body constituted 31.28 km<sup>2</sup> that is 4.30 % of the total area of the study area.

In 2016, vegetation occupied 447.14 km<sup>2</sup>, representing 61.45% of the total study area. Similarly, agricultural activity covers a land area of 140.91km<sup>2</sup> which is about 19.36% of the total area. The area of bare land



constituted 106.42 km<sup>2</sup>, representing 14.62% of the total land area. Water body has an area of 33.21 km<sup>2</sup> (representing 4.56%).

The supervised classification procedures applied to 1997 and the 2016 Landsat TM images, It is evident that vegetation is the dominant land use, 62.25% in 1997 and 61.45% in 2016, and followed by agricultural activity, bare land, and water body respectively.

### 5.2 Accuracy Assessment Using Error Matrix for Classified Images of Year 1997 and 2016

It is very important to perform accuracy assessment of the classified images. The

quality of a map from satellite imageries is determined by its accuracy. Accuracy assessment was done on 1997 and 2016 Landsat TM imageries and an assessment report was obtained having an error matrix, accuracy totals and a kappa statistics (as in Table 3). The Error Matrix was calculated to understand the accuracy of classification for the year 1997 and 2016. The error matrix is calculated pixel by pixel basis. The pixels were converted to percentage. An overall classification accuracy of 88.12% and 85.62% and a Kappa coefficient (overall kappa statistics) of 0.8477 and 0.817097 was achieved in 1997 and 2016 respectively.

**Table 3: Summary of accuracy (%) and kappa statistics**



Land use/ Land cover	Producers Accuracy		Users Accuracy	
	1997	2016	1997	2016
WATER BODY	82.5	77.5	80.1	75.2
VEGETATION	90	82.5	88.9	81.5
AGRICULTURE	95	90	94.3	89.3

BARE LAND	85	92.5	83.9	91.3
	1997	2016		
Overall Classification Accuracy	88.12%	85.62%		
Overall Kappa Statistics	0.8477	0.817097		

Change conversion matrix for year 1997 and 2016 is prepared to clearly understand the major source of land cover change. Change matrix for classified images of year 1997 and 2016 has been produced and presented in the

table 6. The matrix table depicts the areal distribution of each land cover that have experienced transformation from one type to another or remained same.

LAND USE OF ODDUSUDDAN DS DIVISION IN 1997 & 2016



LAND USE OF ODDUSUDDAN DS DIVISION IN 1997 & 2016





Figure 4: Land used for vegetation, Agriculture, Bare Land and Water bodies in Oddusuddan in 1997 and 2016

### 5.3 The extent and rate of land cover/ land use change

The extent and rate of land cover and land use change is being monitored by using two Landsat TM images of 1997, and 2016. Total area of the land cover and land use in square kilometer and its percentage for each date of satellite images was calculated through digital image interpretation. Summary of total land cover and land use of 1997 and 2016 is given in tables 4 & 5

**Table 4: Land use cover changes from 1997 to 2016**

LAND USE	1997		2016	
	AREA (Km <sup>2</sup> )	%	AREA (Km <sup>2</sup> )	%
WATER	31.28	4.30	33.21	4.56
VEGETATION	453.02	62.25	447.14	61.45
AGRICULTURE	136.16	18.71	140.91	19.36
BARE LAND	107.24	14.74	106.42	14.62
TOTAL	727.69	100.00	727.69	100.00

Based on this result, vegetation covered about 453.02 Km<sup>2</sup> and 447.14 Km<sup>2</sup> of the area in 1997 and 2016 respectively. 62.25% of the total area was covered with vegetation in 1997 that decreased to 61.45% in 2016. The rate of vegetation cover change is -0.29 Km<sup>2</sup> per year between 1997 and 2016. The rate of vegetation cover change between 1997 and 2016 is give in the table 5

**Table 5: The rate of Land use / Land covers change**

LAND USE	1997	2016	AREA CHANGED IN Km <sup>2</sup> (1997-2016)	CHANGE PER YEAR (Km <sup>2</sup> )
	AREA (Km <sup>2</sup> )	AREA (Km <sup>2</sup> )		
WATER	31.28	33.21	1.94	0.10
VEGETATION	453.02	447.14	-5.88	-0.29
AGRICULTURE	136.16	140.91	4.75	0.24
BARE LAND	107.24	106.42	-0.81	-0.04
TOTAL	727.69	727.69	0	0

#### 5.4 Pattern of land use and land cover change

An error Matrix was prepared to understand land encroachment for different land categories from 1997 to 2016. The pattern of land use change into other land use type is presented in following table 06.

**Table 6: Error Matrix of Land Cover/Land Use Changes from 1997 to 2016**

LAND USE IN 2016 (Km <sup>2</sup> )	LAND USE IN 1997 (Km <sup>2</sup> )				
	WATER	VEGETATION	AGRICULTURE	BARE LAND	GRAND TOTAL

	WATER	27.79	0.92	0.72	3.79	33.21
	VEGETATION	2.16	422.67	21.48	0.84	447.14
	AGRICULTUR	0.58	22.81	90.81	26.71	140.91
	BARE LAND	0.75	6.63	23.15	75.90	106.42
	GRANT TOTAL	31.28	453.02	136.16	107.24	727.69

About 2.16% area of water has been converted into vegetation, 0.58% into agriculture and 0.75% into bare land. About 0.92% area of vegetation covered has been transformed into water. 22.81 area of vegetation is converted into agriculture, and 6.63% area of vegetation is converted into bare land. Nearly 0.72% area of agricultural land has been transformed into water body, 21.48% into vegetation, and 23.15% in to bare land. About 3.79% area of bare land is converted into water bodies, 0.84% into vegetation, and 26.71% into agriculture. Area of 27.79 Km<sup>2</sup>, 422.67 Km<sup>2</sup>, 90.81 Km<sup>2</sup>, and 75.90 Km<sup>2</sup> classified as water, vegetation, agriculture and bare land respectively remain unchanged from 1997 to 2016.

## 6 Conclusion

The land cover and land use changes have been investigated. Various thematic maps have been produced to illustrate the land cover and land use change between 1997 and 2016. The identified main land use types in the study area are water, vegetation, agriculture and bare land. The study indicates that a remarkable change on vegetation cover has been occurred from 1997 to 2016. Anthropogenic activities induced decrease in vegetation in the study area but this was not discussed in this research. Hence, further studies could be carryout to better explain the impacts of anthropogenic factors on vegetation cover change.

The vegetation cover decreased by 5.88 Km<sup>2</sup> between 1997 and 2016 in the study area. About 453.02 Km<sup>2</sup> of the land was covered with vegetation of the total area of the Oddusuddan DS division in 1997. However, this figure declined to 447.14 Km<sup>2</sup> in the year 2016. The highly demand for agriculture land directly linked with changes of vegetation cover in Oddusuddan DS division. For the conservation of the vegetation cover from the further destruction, farmers should be encouraged to participate in the land use and the land cover management to create awareness as to maximum utilization of resources in a sustainable manner.

Hence, to preserve the forest resources from further destruction and to use the forest resources in a sustainable manner, farmers should be encouraged to plant fast growing trees on their farm boundaries, homesteads or on degraded lands instead of cutting trees from the existing land cover, introduction of fuel saving stoves instead of using fuel wood in traditional three stone stoves, creating awareness among the society regarding optimum utilization of the land cover recourses and conservation systems by concerned bodies could play a significant role in rehabilitation and minimizing of environmental degradation.

## References

1. Boyd, D. S., Foody, G. M., & Ripple, W. J. (2002). Evaluation of approaches for forest cover estimation in the Pacific Northwest, USA, using remote sensing. *Applied Geography*, 4(22), 375–392.
2. Broich, M., Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P., Adusei, B., Lindquist, E., & Stehman, S. V. (2011). Time-series analysis of multi-resolution optical imagery for quantifying forest cover loss in Sumatra and Kalimantan, Indonesia. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 13(2), 277–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2010.11.004>
3. Coops, N. C., & Catling, P. C. (1997). Predicting the complexity of habitat in forests from airborne videography for wildlife management. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 18(12), 2677–2682. <https://doi.org/10.1080/014311697217530>
4. Department of Census and Statistics. (2012). Census of Population and Housing 2012. Ministry of Finance and Planning.
5. Dorren, L. K. A., Maier, B., & Seijmonsbergen, A. C. (2003). Improved Landsat-based forest mapping in steep mountainous terrain using object-based classification. *Forest Ecology and*

- Management*, 183(1), 31–46.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(03\)00113-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(03)00113-0)
6. Forkuo, E. K., & Frimpong, A. (2012). Analysis of Forest Cover Change Detection. *International Journal of Remote Sensing Application*, 2(4), 82–92.
  7. Grainger, A. (2009). *Controlling Tropical Deforestation*. Earthscan.
  8. Imbernon, J., & Branthomme, A. (2001). Characterization of landscape patterns of deforestation in tropical rain forests. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 22(9), 1753–1765.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160118426>
  9. Karia, J. P., Porwal, M. C., Roy, P. S., & Sandhya, G. (2001). Forest change detection in Kalarani round, Vadodara, Gujarat— a Remote Sensing and GIS approach. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 29(3), 129.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02989924>
  10. Kayet, N., & Pathak. (2015). Remote Sensing and GIS Based Land use/Land cover Change Detection Mapping in Saranda Forest, Jharkhand, India. *International Research Journal of Earth Sciences*, 3(2321–2527), 1–6.
  11. Larsson, H. (2002). Acacia canopy cover changes in Rawashda forest reserve, Kassala Province, Eastern Sudan, using linear regression NDVI models. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23(2), 335–339.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160010014279>
  12. Luque, S. S. (2000). Evaluating temporal changes using Multi-Spectral Scanner and Thematic Mapper data on the landscape of a natural reserve: The New Jersey Pine Barrens, a case study. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 21(13–14), 2589–2610.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160050110197>
  13. Mcleod, R. ., & Conglton, R. G. . (1998). A Quantitative Comparison of Change-Detection Algorithms for Monitoring Eelgrass from Remotely Sensed Data 172. *Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 64(3), 207–216.
  14. Mihai, B., Săvulescu, I., Rujoiu-Mare, M., & Nistor, C. (2017). Recent forest cover changes (2002–2015) in the Southern Carpathians: A case study of the Iezer Mountains, Romania. *Science of The Total Environment*, 599–600, 2166–2174.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.226>
  15. O’Callaghan, J. (2012). Remote sensing: principles and interpretation. *Journal Cartography*, 11(4), 251–252.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00690805.1980.10438124>
  16. Panigrahy, R. K., Kale, M. P., Dutta, U.,



- Mishra, A., & banerjee, B. (2010). Forest Cover Change Detection of Western Ghats of Maharashtra using Satellite Remote Sensing based Visual Interpretation Technique. *Current Science*, 98(5), 657–664.
17. Reis, S., & Yomralioglu, T. (2006). Detection of current and potential hazelnut plantation areas in Tabzon, North East Turkey using GIS and RS. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 27(4), 653–659.
18. Roy, P. S., & Joshi, P. . (2010). Forest cover assessment in north-east India--the potential of temporal wide swath satellite sensor data (IRS-1C WiFS): International Journal of Remote Sensing. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23(23), 4881–4896. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160110114475>
19. Sadeghi, M., Malekian, M., & Khodakarami, L. (2017). Forest losses and gains in Kurdistan province, western Iran: Where do we stand? *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 20(1), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2016.07.001>
20. Sajjad, A., Hussain, A., Wahab, U., Adnan, S., Ali, S., Ahmad, Z., & Ali, A. (2015). Application of Remote Sensing and GIS in Forest Cover Change in Tehsil Barawal, District Dir, Pakistan. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 06, 1501. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2015.69149>
21. Sakthivel, R., Manivel, M., raj, N. J., Pugalanthi, V., Ravichandran, N., & Anand, V. D. (2010). Remote sensing and GIS based forest cover change detection study in Kalrayan hills, Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 31(5), 737–747.
22. Singh, A. (1989). Digital change detection techniques using remotely sensed data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 10, 989–1003.
23. Unni, M. N. V, Roy, P. ., & Parthasarathy, V. (1985). Evaluation of LANDSAT and airborne multispectral data and aerial photographs for mapping forest features and phenomena in a part of the Godavari basin: International Journal of Remote Sensing: Vol 6, No 3-4. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 6(3–4), 419–431. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431168508948464>
24. Yismaw, A. (2014). Forest Cover Change Detection Using Remote Sensing and GIS in Banja District, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Environmental Monitoring and Analysis*, 2(6), 354. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijema.20140206.19>
25. Yismaw, A., Gedif, B., Addisu, S., &

- Zewudu, F. (2014). Forest Cover Change Detection Using Remote Sensing and GIS in Banja District, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Environmental Monitoring and Analysis*, 2(6), 354. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijema.20140206.19>
26. Young, S. S., & Wang, C. Y. (2001). Land-cover change analysis of China using global-scale Pathfinder AVHRR Landcover (PAL) data, 1982-1992. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 22(8), 1457-1477. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160116787>
27. Yu, X. (2007). *Methods and techniques for forest change detection and growth estimation using airborne laser scanning data*. Geodeettinen Laitos, Kirkkonummi.
28. Zimble, D. A., Evans, D. L., Carlson, G. C., Parker, R. C., Grado, S. C., & Gerard, P. D. (2003). Characterizing vertical forest structure using small-footprint airborne LiDAR. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 87(2), 171-182. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(03\)00139-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00139-1)
- 29.

