

**TREATMENT EFFICIENCY OF THE BIOLOGICAL  
TREATMENT PLANT FOR THE BREWERY WASTEWATER  
INTERMS OF CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND AND THE  
QUALITY OF TREATED WATER FOR IT'S DISPOSAL**



**BY**

**WEERAPPERUMA KANKANAMGE RANDIKA PRABUDDHA  
WIJESINGHE**



**FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY**

**EASTERN UNIVERSITY**

**SRILANKA**

**2023**

## ABSTRACT

Nowadays, water resources are becoming increasingly scarce and many of them are polluted by anthropogenic sources such as industries, agriculture and households. Therefore, the treatment of wastewater remains a critical need before discharging it to natural water streams. The main purpose of wastewater treatment is to remove the various contaminants that are present in the wastewater. With the rapid development of the food industry, a large amount of wastewater is discharged, which poses a great threat to the environment. In fact, beer is the fifth most popular beverage drunk worldwide after tea, carbonated drinks, milk, and coffee. The majority of the ingredients in beer are made up of water. The brewing process often generates large amounts of wastewater effluent and solid wastes that must be disposed off or treated in the least costly and safest way. As a result, many brewers are today searching for ways to cut down on this water usage during the beer brewing process, and/or means to treat the brewery wastewater cost-effectively and safely for reuse particularly important for water scarcity. Lion Brewery Ceylon PLC is one of the leading company in Sri Lanka producing beer at Biyagama area with the waste water treatment facilities. In this view, this study was conducted to evaluate the performance of the biological treatment plant of a brewery located at Biyagama, Sri Lanka and find the suitability for the use of it for different purposes. The biological treatment consists of anaerobic treatment through an anaerobic hybrid reactor (AHR) and conventional aerobic treatment (Activated Sludge Process (ASP)). The data was collected for the month of January and February starting from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2023 to 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2023 and used for this study. The inlet and outlet characteristics were measured at a particular point before and after each process unit at every treatment plant. The treatment efficiency in terms of Total Suspended Solids, pH, Temperature, Chemical oxygen demand, biological oxygen

demand, alkalinity, volatile fatty acids and Mixed liquor suspended solids were also analyzed.

In this study, collected data was analyzed to identify the treatment efficiency of the brewery wastewater plant. The Up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket used as the anaerobic process shows high COD removal efficiency of the effluent. COD removal efficiency of the anaerobic A digester (D101A) around 72 -98% with an average efficiency of 78.9% whereas, anaerobic digester B (D101 B) shows the COD removal efficiency around 72-94% with an average of 80.4% and aerobic digestion around 90-99%. The final treated water was containing the E-coli and coliform up to a certain level and it was not harmful to discharge into the surface water based on the Central Environmental Authority standards. Further, treatment of the treated water to remove the remaining E-coli and Coliform Reverse Osmosis plant or Microbial fuel cell will be suggested from this finding of the study.

**Keywords:** Aerobic treatment, Anaerobic digester, Brewery wastewater, Chemical Oxygen Demand, Up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket

# Table of Contents

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	iii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	vii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	viii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	ix
<b>CHAPTER 01</b> .....	1
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>CHAPTER 02</b> .....	4
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	4
<b>2.1 Water Consumption and Importance of water quality in the brewing industry</b> .....	4
<b>2.2 Water Quality of the beer industry</b> .....	5
<b>2.3 Characteristics of the brewery wastewater</b> .....	8
<b>2.4 Source of the brewery effluent</b> .....	11
<b>2.5 Environmental impact of untreated wastewater discharge into the natural water bodies</b> .....	14
<b>2.6 Impact of wastewater toxicants on Health</b> .....	16
<b>2.7 E-coli and water quality</b> .....	17
<b>2.8 Modern wastewater treatment technologies for wastewater treatment in the brewery industries</b> .....	20
<b>2.9 Physical treatment techniques</b> .....	21
<b>2.10 Chemical treatment techniques</b> .....	22

2.11 Biological treatment techniques.....	23
2.12 Up flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor and Working principle of UASB. ....	24
<b>CHAPTER 03</b> .....	28
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> .....	28
3.1 Description of Study site.....	28
3.3 Physiochemical analysis.....	30
3.3.1 pH.....	30
3.3.2 Total Suspended solid (TSS).....	30
3.3.3 Volatile Fatty Acid (VFA).....	31
3.3.4 Alkalinity.....	31
3.3.5 COD.....	31
3.4 Biological analysis.....	32
3.4.1 Sampling Location.....	32
3.4.2 Sample preparation and analysis.....	32
3.5 Calculations.....	33
3.6 Data Analysis.....	33
<b>CHAPTER 04</b> .....	35
<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> .....	35
4.1 Brewing effluent volumes.....	35
4.2 Effluent feed volume and COD load.....	36
4.3 pH variation.....	39

4.4 Equalization basin and Characteristics of brewery effluent.....	41
4.5 Performance of Up flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB).....	43
4.6 Performance of aeration process .....	47
4.7 Evaluate the treated water qualities for different purposes.....	50
4.7.1 Current water parameters .....	50
4.8 Suitability for brewing liquor, CIP .....	53
CHAPTER 05.....	62
CONCLUSION .....	62
REFERENCES.....	64

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Characteristics of brew water (Michael , 2012).....	7
Table 2.2 Characteristics of brewery wastewater .....	9
Table 2.3 Main areas of wastewater generation .....	13
Table 2.4 Harmful strains of E-coli .....	19
Table 2.5 acceptable levels/concentrations of E. coli in the different water .....	20
Table 2.6 Operations and processes for brewery wastewater treatment .....	21
Table 3.1 The operating conditions of effluent treatment .....	30
Table 4.1 Physicochemical analysis of brewery wastewater by aerobic process.	49
Table 4.2 Treated water parameters. ....	51
Table 4.3 The CEA standards for discharge of treated water into natural bodies .....	53
Table 4.4 Physiochemical parameters of the different water.....	58

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Wastewater relative contribution .....	11
Figure 2.2 UASB Reactor (IWA, 2022) .....	26
Figure 3.1 Plant layout of the wastewater treatment plant .....	28
Figure 4.1 Daily effluent volume .....	36
Figure 4.2 Organic load variation (COD Load -Kg) .....	37
Figure 4.3 Average flowrates per hour .....	39
Figure 4.4 pH Variation .....	40
Figure 4.5 COD variation in EQ basin .....	41
Figure 4.6 TSS variation in EQ basin .....	42
Figure 4-7 Correlation: COD, TSS .....	43
Figure 4.8 COD changes in influent and effluent in Anerobic reactor A .....	44
Figure 4.9 COD changes in influent and effluent in Anerobic reactor B .....	45
Figure 4.10 Treated water samples .....	56
Figure 4.11 Reference sample (Drinking water) .....	56
Figure 4.12 Brewing liquor water quality variation trend over the year .....	59