DEVELOPMENT AND QUALITY EVALUATION OF VALUE ADDED COCONUT (Cocos nucifera) JAM

BY



R.L.B.JAYASHANI



FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY

EASTERN UNIVERSITY

SRI LANKA

2023

ABSTRACT

Jam is a semi-solid product used as a spread ingredient in bakery products. In Coconut jam is made from Young coconut, Coconut Embryo that are rich in pectin, sucrose, and citric acid. Jam based on coconut meat is an innovative product aimed to make coconut product diversification.. This research study was carried out to investigate the production of coconut based jams, which are more nutritious and, instead of jam containing artificial colors and sweeteners widely available in Sri Lanka. Also to improve the eating quality of coconut embryo ,which has exceptional medicinal properties, to introduce these product to the market and to raise awareness about their medicinal value. The high content of bioactive compounds in Coconut Embryo and Young Coconut provides various health benefits.

The result of this study confirms the great potentiality of Young Coconut pulp with Coconut Embryo for jam production. The treatments are as follows. T_1 Ordinary Young Coconut pulp, T_2 - Young Coconut pulp with Coconut Embryo, T_3 -. Ordinary Coconut Embryo. Physico-chemical analysis vs.- pH, Moisture content (MC), Total Soluble Solids (TSS) and Titrable acidity (TA) and sensory analysis vs.- Texture, Colour,Spreadability, taste, appearance and overall acceptability were conducted for each treatment of the jam. Physico-Chemical analysis was conducted by using standard AOAC methods. In physico-chemical analysis there was a decrease of pH value in T₃ (Ordinary Coconut Embryo) which is responsible for the low pH of coconut Embryo and citric Acid. In T₂ (Young Coconut with Coconut Embryo) had highest amount of Total Soluble Solids.

Sensory evaluation was conducted using a sensory panel consisting 20 semi trained panelists. The texture, colour, spreadability, taste, appearance and overall acceptability were evaluated using a Nine-point hedonic scale. In the sensory analysis T_2 (Young Coconut pulp with Coconut Embryo) had highest texture, colour, spreadability, taste and overall acceptability and appearance. T_1 had highest appearance similar to T_2 . The initial bacterial and fungal population of the coconut based jam was 2.00 x 10-6cfu/g and 1.00x 10-6 cfu/g respectively. An increasing trend in bacterial and fungal population was observed in the stored jam samples. A minimum count was recorded in the prepared by Young Coconut with Coconut Embryo packed in glass bottles throughout the storage period .

Therefore, jam prepared from Young Coconut with coconut embryo is the best jam sample within three treatments and there is no any harmful effect to the consumers. Therefore, it can be concluded that jam prepared by Young Coconut with Coconut Embryo is having good potential for the commercial production.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATIONS	••••1	i
ABSTRACT	İ	11
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.		iv
LIST OF TABLE		vi viii
LIST OF FICURE		iv
LIST OF PLATES.		. X
ABBREVIATIONS		xi

CHAPTURE 1

	1
Introduction	1

CHAPTURE 2

02 Review of Literature
2.1Coconut
2.1.1Introduction
2.1.2Ecology
2.1.3Taxonomy
2.2 Uses of Coconut
2.2.1Coconut meat
2.2.2Nutrition
2.2.3Macauno12
2.2.4Coconut Flour
2.2.5Coconut sap
2.2.6Coconut Vinegar14
2.2.7Coconut Oil
2.2.8Coconut butter
2.3 Uses of Coconut Embryo14
2.3.1Immunity booster
2.3.2Works as a metabolism booster15

2.3.3About weight Management15
2.3.4Regulates Diabetes16
2.3.5Work wonders as cancer16
2.3.6It has anti aging effects16
2.4 Chemical Composition of Coconut16
2.4.1Carbohydrate
2.4.2Proteins
2.4.3Amino Acids
2.4.4Vitamins
2.4.5Minerals
2.5 Jam
2.6 Raw materials needed to preparation of jam manufacture21
2.6.1Fruits/ Vegetables22
2.6.2Sugar22
2.6.3Pectin
2.6.4Acid23
CHAPTURE 3
03 Materials and methods24
3.1 Materials24
3.1.1Materials Used
3.1.1Materials Used. 24 3.1.2Equipment Used. 24
3.1.1Materials Used.243.1.2Equipment Used.243.1.3Collection of materials.25
3.1.1Materials Used243.1.2Equipment Used243.1.3Collection of materials253.2 Methodology25
3.1.1Materials Used243.1.2Equipment Used243.1.3Collection of materials253.2 Methodology253.2.1Preparation of raw materials25
3.1.1Materials Used243.1.2Equipment Used243.1.3Collection of materials253.2 Methodology253.2.1Preparation of raw materials253.2.1.1Preparation of young coconut pulp25
3.1.1Materials Used243.1.2Equipment Used243.1.3Collection of materials253.2 Methodology253.2.1Preparation of raw materials253.2.1.1Preparation of young coconut pulp253.2.1.2Preparation of coconut Embryo25
3.1.1Materials Used243.1.2Equipment Used243.1.3Collection of materials253.2 Methodology253.2.1Preparation of raw materials253.2.1.1Preparation of young coconut pulp253.2.1.2Preparation of coconut Embryo253.2.2 Production of jam preparation25
3.1.1Materials Used243.1.2Equipment Used243.1.3Collection of materials253.2 Methodology253.2.1Preparation of raw materials253.2.1.1Preparation of young coconut pulp253.2.1.2Preparation of coconut Embryo253.2.2 Production of jam preparation253.3 The end point determination26

3.3.2Sterilization of glass bottles	
3.4 Physico Chemical analysis of jam	27
3.4.1Determination of pH	27
3.4.1.1Materials	27
3.4.1.2principle	27
3.4.1.3Procedure	29
3.4.2 Determination of moisture content(MC)	
3.4.2.1 Materials	
3.4.2.2Principle	
3.4.2.3Procedure.	
3.4.3Determination of Total soluble solid content(TSS).	29
3.4.3.1Materials	
3.4.3.2Principle	29
3.4.3.3Procedure	29
3.4.4 Determination of Titrable Acidity (TA)	
3.4.4.1 Materials	
3.4.4.2Principle	
3.4.4.3Procedure	
3.4.4.4Calculation	
3.4.5Determination of fat content	
3.4.5.1 Materials	31
3.4.5.2Principle	
3.4.5.3Procedure	32
3.5 Sensory evaluation of jam	32
3.5.1 Materials for sensory evaluation	32
3.5.2Serving of jam sample	
3.6 Statical Analysis	

CHAPTURE 4

	.34
04 Results and Discussion	.34
4.1 Physicochemical Qualities of coconut jam	.34
4.1.1pH	.34
4.1.2Total soluble solids(TSS)	35
4.1.3Moisture Content (MC)	35
4.1.4Titrable Acidity (TA)	36
4.3 Sensory Evaluation of coconut jam	.38
4.3.1Texture	.38
4.2.2Colour	.38
4.2.3Spreadability	.39
4.2.4Appearance	.39
4.2.50verall Acceptability	40
CHAPTURE 5	
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	41
05 Conclusion	41
CHAPTURE 6	
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	42
06 References	42
Appendices	45
Appendix – 01	45
Appendix – 02	46

LIST OF TABLE

Table 4.1.1 pH of each treatment in this study	34
Table 4.1.3 Moisture content of each treatment in this study	.35
Table 4.1.4 Titratable Acidity of each treatment in this study	. 36
Table 4.2 Tested Microorganism	37

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure – 4.1.2 Total Soluble Solids of each treatment in this study	35
Figure - 4.2.1 The texture of jam $T_{1,} T_2$ and T_3	38
Figure - 4.2.2 The colour of jam T_1 , T_2 and T_3	39
Figure – 4.2.3 The spreadability of jam $T_{1,} T_2$ and T_3	39
Figure – 4.2.4 The taste of jam $T_{1,} T_2$ and T_3	39
Figure – 4.2.5The appearance of jam $T_{1,}T_{2}$ and T_{3}	40
Figure – 4.2.6 The overall acceptability of jam T1, T2 and T3	40

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 3.2.2 Sample of T1, T2, T3 Jam Samples	25
Plate 3.4.1 Picture of pH testing	
Plate 3.4.2 Picture of moisture mete reading	29
Plate 3.4.3 Picture of Refractometer reading	
Plate 3.4.5 Picture of Gerber testing	32