EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT METHODS OF PRESERVATION ON NUTRITIONAL AND SENSORY QUALITIES OF MACKEREL (RASTRELLIGER KANAGURTA)



BY SRIMATHURI SRIRANGARAJAH

39



FACULTY OF SCIENCE,

EASTERN UNIVERSITY, SRI LANKA,

VANTHAARUMOOLAI,

CHENKALADI,

2007.







ABSTRACT

Fish food preservation is of paramount important in the present day due to seasonal glut, scarcity of food and the existence of user far from the resource base. The present study, conducted at the Zoology laboratory, EUSL, investigates the impacts of time and different preservation conditions on the quality and shelf-life of the preserved Mackerel (Rastrelliger kanagurta). The quality changes of fish were determined by chemical, microbial analysis and organoleptic evaluation. In this study, special emphasis was given to determine the better preservation method among gut, gutless and cooked condition to preserve at maximum period that fish could be held in the preservation condition. Based on the results obtained in the crude protein level of different preservation conditions, there was significant variation (p<0.0) among different preservation conditions and with the increasing storage time. Protein degradation was very fast in preserved fish at gut and gutless conditions rather than cooked condition. Analysis of mineral content such as content of Sodium, Calcium and Potassium shows there was a significant variation (p<0.0) among these three preservative conditions over the days. Declining trend in mineral content was very high in fish preserved at gut and gutless conditions by the diffusion from the fish flesh due to the physical damage, caused by microbial activity and was very low in fish at cooked condition. There was significant difference in Total Bacterial Count (TBC) (p<0.0) among three preserved conditions. TBC was high in gut and gutless forms than cooked form of preservation. There is significant difference (p=0.0) in sensory characters such as colour, smell, texture, taste, flavour and overall eating quality among three preservative conditions. Cooked condition was preferred by most of the panellists.

Among all the three preservation conditions, it could be concluded that the cooked form of preservative condition was very suitable for Mackerel fish (*Rastrelliger kanakurta*) preservation and it can give better preservation over 40 days.

Key words: Organoleptic evaluation, Preservation, Shelf-life, Total Bacterial Count.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page	No
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	. iii
LIST OF FIGURES	. vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Fish production in Sri Lanka	1
1.2. Fish food quality and spoilage	3
1.2.1. Post mortem changes in fish	4
1.2.2. Microbial spoilage of fish	6
1.3. Factors affecting the freshness quality in fresh fish	8
1.3.1. Biological variations	8
1.3.2. Harvesting conditions	. 9
1.3.3. Post-harvest handling	. 9
1.4. Preservation of fish	
1.4.1. Different methods of preservation	11
1.5. Shelf-life of fish in preserved condition	13
1.5.1. Physical changes	14
1.5.2. Chemical changes	14
1.5.3. Microbiological changes	16
1.6. Fish Food Quality Assessment	17
1.6.1. Sensory quality assessment	18

1.7. Aim of the Study	21
2. Materials and Methods	22
2.1. Preparation for preservation	22
2.1.1. Gut form	22
2.1.2. Gutless form	22
2.1.3. Cooked form	23
2.2. Estimation of crude protein	23
2.3. Estimation of total mineral content	23
2.4. Organoleptic evaluation	24
2.4.1. Sample preparation for organoleptic evaluation	24
2.4.2. Serving the sample	25
2.4.3. Testing criteria	26
2.5. Microbial analysis	26
2.5.1. Preparation of serial dilution	26
2.5.2. Preparation of growth media	27
2.5.3. Enumeration of bacterial colonies by spread plate met	thod 27
2.6. Statistical analysis	27
3. Results and Discussion	28
3.1. Analysis of crude protein content	28
3.2. Analysis of mineral content in different preservative cond	itions 33
3.3. Analysis of Total Bacterial Count (TBC)	39
3.4. Organoleptic evaluation of preservative methods	45
3.4.1. Colour	46
3.4.2. Smell	48

3.4.3. Texture	49
3.4.4. Taste	50
3.4.5. Flavour	52
3.4.6. Overall eating acceptability	53
3.4.7. Comparison of sensory score for first and fifth week	of organoleptic
evaluation	56
3.5. Summary	57
4. Conclusions	59
References	61
Appendix	72

*

1